

32692

Customer Number

Patent  
Case No.: 58599US003

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

First Named Inventor: **HARA, TOMIHIRO**  
Application No.: **10/813,311** Group Art Unit: **1771**  
Filed: **March 30, 2004** Examiner: **Daniel R. Zirker**  
Title: **FILM ADHESIVE FOR SEALING, FILM LAMINATE FOR  
SEALING AND SEALING METHOD**

**AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR § 1.111**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

<b>CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR § 1.8(a)]</b>	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:	
<input type="checkbox"/>	deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.
<input type="checkbox"/>	transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at 571-273-8300.
Date _____	Signed by: Susan P. Gumatz

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the outstanding Office Action, dated December 6, 2005, in the above-identified application.

Under the provisions of 37 CFR § 1.136(a), Applicant hereby petitions to extend the period for filing a reply in the above-identified application for one month. Please charge any fees due, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3723.

Without prejudice or disclaimer, applicants have cancelled claims 1, 4-6, 8, 9, and 12, mooted this rejection. Thus, the rejection of claims 1, 4-6, 8, 9, and 12 under 35 USC § 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 USC § 103(a) as obvious over Kawate et al. should be withdrawn.

Claims 2, 3, 7, 10, 11, 13, and 14 stand rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawate et al.

Without prejudice or disclaimer, applicants have cancelled claims 2, 3, 7, 10, and 11, mooted this rejection as to those claims. As to claims 13 and 14, the Examiner has not shown how Kawate describes, teaches, or suggests all of the elements of these method claims. For example, amended claim 13, *inter alia*, requires sealing a plurality of chip-type devices at one time. The Examiner has not shown where Kawate describes, teaches, or suggests this method.

Thus, the rejection of claims 2, 3, 7, 10, 11, 13, and 14 under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawate et al. has been mooted in part and overcome in part and should be withdrawn.

In view of the above, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Allowance of claims 13-24, as amended, at an early date is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

April 6, 2006

Date

By: /Dean M. Harts/

Dean M. Harts, Reg. No.: 47,634

Telephone No.: 651-737-2325

Office of Intellectual Property Counsel  
3M Innovative Properties Company  
Facsimile No.: 651-736-3833